IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 22-5055
INHANCE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	
Defendant.	
<u>ORDER</u>	
AND NOW, thisth day of January,	2023, upon consideration of the Plaintiff's
unopposed motion to unseal the unredacted com	plaint (Doc. No), and for good cause
shown, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion	(Doc. No) is GRANTED , and the
unredacted version of Plaintiff's complaint (Doc	e. No. 3) shall be unsealed.
The Clerk of Court is hereby ORDER	ED to unseal the unredacted version of
Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. No. 3) forthwith.	
	BY THE COURT:
	EDWARD G. SMITH, J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff*,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 22-5055

INHANCE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM TO UNSEAL THE UNREDACTED COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d), the United States of America, by the authority of the Attorney General and through the undersigned attorneys, and at the request of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA"), hereby moves the Court to unseal the unredacted Complaint against Inhance Technologies LLC (the "Defendant" or Inhance"). Inhance does not oppose this motion.

BACKGROUND

On December 19, 2022, the United States initiated this Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") case by filing a redacted version of the complaint into the publicly-accessible record.

R. Doc. 1. The United States also moved to file under seal the unredacted complaint. R. Doc. 2. In its motion, the United States explained that TSCA requires the EPA to protect from disclosure information that a regulated entity has submitted and has alleged is confidential business information ("CBI"), until the EPA becomes aware that the information does not qualify for protection and denies or denies in part the claim of confidentiality. 15 U.S.C. § 2613(e); see also 40 C.F.R. §§ 2.203, 2.204(d) and 2.306.

In regulatory filings with the EPA, Inhance had arguably asserted CBI claims over the information that was redacted in the publicly-available complaint. Although the United States disagreed with much of Inhance's CBI-assertions, the United States moved to seal the unredacted complaint in order to ensure compliance with TSCA. On December 20, 2022, the Court granted the United States' motion to seal the unredacted complaint. R. Doc. 4.

ARGUMENT

The First Amendment and the common law safeguard the right of access to judicial records. *See In re Avandia Mktg., Sales Practices and Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 924 F.3d 662, 672-73 (3d Cir. 2019). Under both of these sources, as the Third Circuit explained in *In re Avandia*, there is a presumption of public access to the records of judicial proceedings. *See id.* That presumption may be overcome—and records may be sealed—for a compelling reason, such as a valid interest in protecting trade secrets. *See id.* However, absent any compelling interest in sealing, the presumption of public access prevails.

Here, by letter dated January 13, 2023, Inhance has informed the United States that it has reviewed the redactions to the complaint and does not believe that the redacted material constitutes CBI within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4). *See* Exhibit 1. Thus, good cause no longer exists to seal the unredacted complaint. Accordingly, the unredacted complaint should be unsealed to permit public access to that filing. Inhance does not object to unsealing the pleading. *See id*.

Wherefore, the United States requests that the Court grant this motion to unseal the unredacted Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TODD KIM

Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice

Dated: January 13, 2023

/s/ Richard Gladstein

RICHARD GLADSTEIN

Senior Counsel

JONAH SELIGMAN

Trial Attorney

Environmental Enforcement Section

Environment and Natural Resources Division

United States Department of Justice

P.O. Box 7611

Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

(202) 514-1711

Richard.Gladstein@usdoj.gov

Jonah.Seligman@usdoj.gov

JACQUELINE C. ROMERO

United States Attorney

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Dated: January 13, 2023

/s/ Gregory B. David GREGORY B. DAVID

Chief, Civil Division

/s/Erin Lindgren

ERIN E. LINDGREN

Assistant United States Attorney Office of United States Attorney 615 Chestnut Street, #1250 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 Erin.Lindgren@usdoj.gov

Of Counsel:

N. LINSDAY SIMMONS ALEXANDER DERGARABEDIAN

Attorney-Advisors
Chemical Risk and Reporting Enforcement Branch
Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division
Office of Civil Enforcement, OECA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C
(202) 564-3223
Simmons.lindsay@epa.gov
Dergarabedian.alexander@epa.gov

Case 5:22-cv-05055-JFM Document 6 Filed 01/13/23 Page 6 of 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The forgoing Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion and Incorporated Memorandum to Unseal the

Unredacted Complaint has been filed electronically using the ECF system and is available for

viewing and downloading from the ECF system. Counsel for the Plaintiff also has served by

email an electronic copy of this Motion and proposed Order on counsel for the Defendant.

DATE: January 13, 2023

/s/ Richard Gladstein

RICHARD GLADSTEIN

5

CERTIFICATE OF UNCONTESTED MOTION

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(b), I certify that I have conferred with counsel for Inhance regarding this motion to unseal and counsel has told me that this motion is uncontested.

DATE: January 13, 2023 /s/ Richard Gladstein

RICHARD GLADSTEIN



EXHIBIT 1

Hogan Lovells US LLP Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 T +1 202 637 5600 F +1 202 637 5910 www.hoganlovells.com

January 13, 2023

BY EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE

Richard Gladstein, Esq.
Senior Counsel
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

Jonah Seligman, Esq.
Trial Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

Re: Sealed Complaint in *United States v. Inhance Technologies LLC*,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-05055

Dear Messrs. Gladstein and Seligman:

At the direction of my client, Inhance Technologies LLC ("Inhance"), I have undertaken a review of the sealed complaint filed by the United States of America ("United States") on December 19, 2022, in the above-captioned matter to determine whether the public disclosure of the information redacted in the United States' sealed complaint constitutes "trade secrets and commercial or financial information," 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4) (2018), that would harm the business interests of Inhance. We have determined that it would not. Based on the foregoing, Inhance has authorized me to inform the United States that it does not claim "confidential business information" over the specific redacted information and, as such, has no objection to the United States unsealing the unredacted complaint.

Inhance will work separately with the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to address the scope of Inhance's claim of "confidential business information" with respect to information submitted to EPA related to the subject-matter of the above-captioned matter.

Case 5:22-cv-05055-JFM Document 6 Filed 01/13/23 Page 9 of 9

United - 2 -States Inhance January 13, 2023

Technologies LLC,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-05055

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Adam M. Kushner

Partner

Hogan Lovells US, LLP 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Ada d. K.L

(202) 637-5724

adam.kushner@hoganlovells.com

cc:

Jonah Seligman, United States Department of Justice N. Lindsay Simmons, United States Environmental Protection Agency Alexander Dergarabedian, United States Environmental Protection Agency